

**THRESHOLD CRITERIA**

**(Required but not scored. If “no” for any threshold criteria, the project is ineligible.)**

Item	Maximum Available Score
<b>HMIS Implementation:</b> Projects that do not participate in HMIS are not eligible for funding, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency. Victim-services agencies must utilize a comparable database to HMIS and be able to produce de-identified aggregate data.	N/A
<b>Coordinated Entry:</b> Projects that have not agreed to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type, are not eligible for funding. Victim-service agencies or those serving survivors of domestic violence shall participate with Coordinated Entry while protecting client data and safety to ensure fair and equal access to the coordinated entry process and housing and services opportunities.	N/A
<b>CoC Strategic Plan Compliance:</b> Project aligns with the San Francisco CoC Strategic Plan.	N/A
<b>Equal Access and Non-Discrimination:</b> The project ensures equal access to program participants regardless of their race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, familial status or disability. The project complies with all federal and state civil rights and fair housing laws including the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access Rule.	N/A
<b>Match:</b> The agency has committed to match 25% of the grant except for leasing funds.	N/A
<b>Training and Technical Assistance:</b> All projects must agree to be responsive to training and technical assistance from the Collaborative Applicant and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (LHCB).	N/A
<b>Substantially Changed Systems:</b> All projects agree to inform LHCB and Collaborative Applicant if they have key personnel changes or substantially changed systems (such as changes to client admissions criteria).	N/A
<b>Recent Financial Statement:</b> Projects must provide an up to date (within last 21 months) audited financial statement, and single audit (if applicable).	N/A

## STATEMENT OF POLICY

All of the Scored Criteria in this tool measure renewal projects' contribution to improving the San Francisco Continuum of Care's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization, and improved client outcomes. Certain scoring factors relate to specific HUD System Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

## SCORED CRITERIA

Item	Maximum Available Score																				
<b>1</b>	<b>55</b>																				
<b>Program Performance and Client Outcomes</b> Projects held harmless for a 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (due to lacking a full year of APR data) will only receive 40 points in this section; 3 <sup>rd</sup> year = 30 points, 4 <sup>th</sup> year or more = 0 points.																					
<b>1a</b>	<b>HOUSING STABILITY</b>																				
1a1	<p><b><i>Permanent Supportive Housing:</i></b> The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by remaining in permanent housing or exiting to permanent housing.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 15%;">15 pts.**</td><td style="width: 15%;">98-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>14 pts.</td><td>94-97.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>13 pts.</td><td>90-93.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>11 pts.</td><td>86-89.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>82-85.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>78-81.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>75-77.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>72-74.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>70-71.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>&lt;70%</td></tr> </table>	15 pts.**	98-100%	14 pts.	94-97.9%	13 pts.	90-93.9%	11 pts.	86-89.9%	9 pts.	82-85.9%	7 pts.	78-81.9%	5 pts.	75-77.9%	3 pts.	72-74.9%	1 pt.	70-71.9%	0 pts.	<70%
15 pts.**	98-100%																				
14 pts.	94-97.9%																				
13 pts.	90-93.9%																				
11 pts.	86-89.9%																				
9 pts.	82-85.9%																				
7 pts.	78-81.9%																				
5 pts.	75-77.9%																				
3 pts.	72-74.9%																				
1 pt.	70-71.9%																				
0 pts.	<70%																				
1a2	<p><b><i>Rapid Rehousing (excluding youth-dedicated projects):</i></b> The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by exiting to permanent housing.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 15%;">15 pts.**</td><td style="width: 15%;">90-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>14 pts.</td><td>85-89.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>13 pts.</td><td>80-84.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>11 pts.</td><td>75-79.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>70-74.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>65-69.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>62-64.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>59-61.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>55-58.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>&lt;55%</td></tr> </table>	15 pts.**	90-100%	14 pts.	85-89.9%	13 pts.	80-84.9%	11 pts.	75-79.9%	9 pts.	70-74.9%	7 pts.	65-69.9%	5 pts.	62-64.9%	3 pts.	59-61.9%	1 pt.	55-58.9%	0 pts.	<55%
15 pts.**	90-100%																				
14 pts.	85-89.9%																				
13 pts.	80-84.9%																				
11 pts.	75-79.9%																				
9 pts.	70-74.9%																				
7 pts.	65-69.9%																				
5 pts.	62-64.9%																				
3 pts.	59-61.9%																				
1 pt.	55-58.9%																				
0 pts.	<55%																				
1a3	<p><b><i>Transitional Housing or Rapid Rehousing (including Host Homes) for Youth:</i></b> The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by exiting to permanent housing.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 15%;">15 pts.**</td><td style="width: 15%;">80-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>14 pts.</td><td>75-79.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>13 pts.</td><td>70-74.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>11 pts.</td><td>65-69.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>60-64.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>55-59.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>52-54.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>49-51.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>45-48.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>&lt;45%</td></tr> </table>	15 pts.**	80-100%	14 pts.	75-79.9%	13 pts.	70-74.9%	11 pts.	65-69.9%	9 pts.	60-64.9%	7 pts.	55-59.9%	5 pts.	52-54.9%	3 pts.	49-51.9%	1 pt.	45-48.9%	0 pts.	<45%
15 pts.**	80-100%																				
14 pts.	75-79.9%																				
13 pts.	70-74.9%																				
11 pts.	65-69.9%																				
9 pts.	60-64.9%																				
7 pts.	55-59.9%																				
5 pts.	52-54.9%																				
3 pts.	49-51.9%																				
1 pt.	45-48.9%																				
0 pts.	<45%																				

<b>1b</b>	<b>INCREASED INCOME</b>																																														
1b1	<p><b>Permanent Supportive Housing:</b> The percentage of participants that increase unearned <i>and/or</i> earned income from entry to annual assessment/exit.</p> <p><i>Those participants aged 18-24, who did not increase their income, but demonstrated that they were enrolled in an education program, will be added to the total number of participants who increased their income.</i></p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>		<p><b>Permanent Supportive Housing</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>10 pts.**</td><td>60-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>50-59.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>8 pts.</td><td>45-49.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>25-44.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>6 pts.</td><td>20-24.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>15-19.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>4 pts.</td><td>11-14.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>8-10.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pts.</td><td>5-7.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>1-4.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>0%</td></tr> </table>		10 pts.**	60-100%	9 pts.	50-59.9%	8 pts.	45-49.9%	7 pts.	25-44.9%	6 pts.	20-24.9%	5 pts.	15-19.9%	4 pts.	11-14.9%	3 pts.	8-10.9%	2 pts.	5-7.9%	1 pt.	1-4.9%	0 pts.	0%																					
10 pts.**	60-100%																																														
9 pts.	50-59.9%																																														
8 pts.	45-49.9%																																														
7 pts.	25-44.9%																																														
6 pts.	20-24.9%																																														
5 pts.	15-19.9%																																														
4 pts.	11-14.9%																																														
3 pts.	8-10.9%																																														
2 pts.	5-7.9%																																														
1 pt.	1-4.9%																																														
0 pts.	0%																																														
1b2	<p><b>Rapid Re-Housing or Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing (including Host Homes) for Youth:</b> The percentage of leavers that increase income from entry to exit.</p> <p><i>Those leavers aged 18-24, who did not increase their income, but demonstrated that they were enrolled in an education program, will be added to the total number of leavers who increased their income.</i></p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>	<p><b>Rapid Re-Housing (excluding youth-dedicated projects)</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>10 pts.**</td><td>95-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>85-94.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>8 pts.</td><td>75-84.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>65-74.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>6 pts.</td><td>54-64.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>44-53.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>4 pts.</td><td>34-43.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>24-33.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pts.</td><td>10-23.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>1-9.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>0-.9%</td></tr> </table>	10 pts.**	95-100%	9 pts.	85-94.9%	8 pts.	75-84.9%	7 pts.	65-74.9%	6 pts.	54-64.9%	5 pts.	44-53.9%	4 pts.	34-43.9%	3 pts.	24-33.9%	2 pts.	10-23.9%	1 pt.	1-9.9%	0 pts.	0-.9%	<p><b>Transitional Housing or Rapid Rehousing (including Host Homes) for Youth</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>10 pts.**</td><td>85-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>70-84.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>8 pts.</td><td>50-69.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>45-49.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>6 pts.</td><td>40-44.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>20-39.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>4 pts.</td><td>15-19.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>10-14.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pts.</td><td>5-9.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>2-4.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>0-1.9%</td></tr> </table>	10 pts.**	85-100%	9 pts.	70-84.9%	8 pts.	50-69.9%	7 pts.	45-49.9%	6 pts.	40-44.9%	5 pts.	20-39.9%	4 pts.	15-19.9%	3 pts.	10-14.9%	2 pts.	5-9.9%	1 pt.	2-4.9%	0 pts.	0-1.9%
10 pts.**	95-100%																																														
9 pts.	85-94.9%																																														
8 pts.	75-84.9%																																														
7 pts.	65-74.9%																																														
6 pts.	54-64.9%																																														
5 pts.	44-53.9%																																														
4 pts.	34-43.9%																																														
3 pts.	24-33.9%																																														
2 pts.	10-23.9%																																														
1 pt.	1-9.9%																																														
0 pts.	0-.9%																																														
10 pts.**	85-100%																																														
9 pts.	70-84.9%																																														
8 pts.	50-69.9%																																														
7 pts.	45-49.9%																																														
6 pts.	40-44.9%																																														
5 pts.	20-39.9%																																														
4 pts.	15-19.9%																																														
3 pts.	10-14.9%																																														
2 pts.	5-9.9%																																														
1 pt.	2-4.9%																																														
0 pts.	0-1.9%																																														
<b>1c</b>	<b>OBTAINED OR MAINTAINED CASH INCOME SOURCES***</b>																																														
1c1	<p><b>Permanent Supportive Housing:</b> The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained mainstream cash income sources at annual assessment or project exit.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>		<p><b>Cash Income Sources</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>10 pts.**</td><td>85-100%</td></tr> <tr><td>9 pts.</td><td>70-84.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>8 pts.</td><td>50-69.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>45-49.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>6 pts.</td><td>40-44.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>20-39.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>4 pts.</td><td>15-19.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>10-14.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pts.</td><td>5-9.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>2-4.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>0-1.9%</td></tr> </table>		10 pts.**	85-100%	9 pts.	70-84.9%	8 pts.	50-69.9%	7 pts.	45-49.9%	6 pts.	40-44.9%	5 pts.	20-39.9%	4 pts.	15-19.9%	3 pts.	10-14.9%	2 pts.	5-9.9%	1 pt.	2-4.9%	0 pts.	0-1.9%																					
10 pts.**	85-100%																																														
9 pts.	70-84.9%																																														
8 pts.	50-69.9%																																														
7 pts.	45-49.9%																																														
6 pts.	40-44.9%																																														
5 pts.	20-39.9%																																														
4 pts.	15-19.9%																																														
3 pts.	10-14.9%																																														
2 pts.	5-9.9%																																														
1 pt.	2-4.9%																																														
0 pts.	0-1.9%																																														

1c2	<p><b>Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes):</b> The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained mainstream cash income sources at project exit.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>	<p><b>Cash Income Sources</b></p> <p>10 pts.** 85-100%</p> <p>9 pts. 70-84.9%</p> <p>8 pts. 50-69.9%</p> <p>7 pts. 45-49.9%</p> <p>6 pts. 40-44.9%</p> <p>5 pts. 20-39.9%</p> <p>4 pts. 15-19.9%</p> <p>3 pts. 10-14.9%</p> <p>2 pts. 5-9.9%</p> <p>1 pt. 2-4.9%</p> <p>0 pts. 0-1.9%</p>
1d	<b>NON-CASH MAINSTREAM RESOURCES***</b>	
1d1	<p><b>Permanent Supportive Housing:</b> The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained non-cash mainstream resources at annual assessment or project exit.</p>	<p><b>Non-Cash Mainstream Resources at Follow-up/Exit:</b></p> <p>5 pts.** 60-100%</p> <p>3 pts. 30-59.9%</p> <p>1 pt. 15-29.9%</p> <p>0 pts. 0-14.9%</p>
1d2	<p><b>Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes):</b> The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained non-cash mainstream resources at project exit.</p>	<p><b>Non-Cash Mainstream Resources at Exit:</b></p> <p>5 pts.** 60-100%</p> <p>3 pts. 30-59.9%</p> <p>1 pt. 15-29.9%</p> <p>0 pts. 0-14.9%</p>
1e	<b>HEALTH INSURANCE</b>	
1e1	<p><b>Permanent Supportive Housing:</b> The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained health insurance at annual assessment or project exit.</p>	<p><b>Health Insurance at Follow-up/Exit:</b></p> <p>5 pts.** 83-100%</p> <p>3 pts. 60-82.9%</p> <p>1 pt. 30-59.9%</p> <p>0 pts. 0-29.9%</p>
1e2	<p><b>Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes):</b> The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained health insurance by project exit.</p>	<p><b>Health Insurance at Exit:</b></p> <p>5 pts.** 83-100%</p> <p>3 pts. 60-82.9%</p> <p>1 pt. 30-59.9%</p> <p>0 pts. 0-29.9%</p>

1f	<b>UNIT UTILIZATION</b>																			
1f	<p><b>Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project:</b> The project's average unit utilization rate.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 1, 3</i></p>	<p><b>Average Unit Utilization Rate:</b></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>10 pts.**</td> <td>90-100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 pts.</td> <td>80-89.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8 pts.</td> <td>75-79.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7 pts.</td> <td>70-74.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 pts.</td> <td>65-69.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 pts.</td> <td>60-64.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 pts.</td> <td>55-59.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 pts.</td> <td>50-54.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 pts.</td> <td>&lt;50%</td> </tr> </table>	10 pts.**	90-100%	9 pts.	80-89.9%	8 pts.	75-79.9%	7 pts.	70-74.9%	6 pts.	65-69.9%	5 pts.	60-64.9%	4 pts.	55-59.9%	3 pts.	50-54.9%	0 pts.	<50%
10 pts.**	90-100%																			
9 pts.	80-89.9%																			
8 pts.	75-79.9%																			
7 pts.	70-74.9%																			
6 pts.	65-69.9%																			
5 pts.	60-64.9%																			
4 pts.	55-59.9%																			
3 pts.	50-54.9%																			
0 pts.	<50%																			
<b>2</b>	<b>Finances, Administration, and Compliance</b>	<b>44</b>																		
2a	<p><b>Client Feedback Process:</b></p> <p>1) Does the project have a Resident Advisory Board, Client Advisory Board, or a client member of the agency's Board of Directors?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes = 1 point</li> <li>• No = 0 points</li> </ul> <p>2) Does the project have a formal process for collecting client or resident feedback?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes = 2 points</li> <li>• No = 0 points</li> </ul> <p>3) Give one example of a time the project responded to client or resident feedback, in the past 2 years, by making a change to the program. (500 characters)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Example = 2 points</li> <li>• No example = 0 points</li> </ul>	5																		
2b	<p><b>Monitoring Findings:</b></p> <p>Project has been responsive to outstanding or pending HUD or HSH monitoring findings, City-wide joint fiscal monitoring findings, financial audit findings, and has no other indication of major capacity issues. Projects must provide an up to date (within last 21 months) audited financial statement, and single audit (if applicable) in order to document this criteria.</p> <p>Projects with no outstanding monitoring findings receive 5 points.</p>	<i>No outstanding findings: 5pts</i>																		

2c	<p><b>Grant Utilization:</b> 3 points: The project drew down or invoiced at least quarterly.</p>	3																		
2d	<p><b>CoC Participation:</b> Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2019 calendar year: (1) Full LHCB Meetings (2) LHCB Funding Committee Meetings (3) CoC Subrecipient Convenings</p>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">5 pts.</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">13+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">7-9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">4-6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 pt.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1-3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> </table>	5 pts.	13+	4 pts.	10-12	3 pts.	7-9	2 pts.	4-6	1 pt.	1-3	0 pts.	0						
5 pts.	13+																			
4 pts.	10-12																			
3 pts.	7-9																			
2 pts.	4-6																			
1 pt.	1-3																			
0 pts.	0																			
2e	<p><b>ONE SYSTEM (HMIS) Data Quality:</b> Data quality is calculated as the percentage of data fields with a response entered in that field in the ONE System reflected on the day of the annual Point-In-Time Count (PIT).  <i>Contributes to System Performance on HUD System Performance Measures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 by improving data quality.</i></p>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">8 pts.</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">90-99.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">80-89.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">70-79.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">60-69.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">50-59.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">40-49.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 pt.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">30-39.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 pts.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">&lt;30%</td> </tr> </table>	8 pts.	100%	7 pts.	90-99.9%	6 pts.	80-89.9%	5 pts.	70-79.9%	4 pts.	60-69.9%	3 pts.	50-59.9%	2 pts.	40-49.9%	1 pt.	30-39.9%	0 pts.	<30%
8 pts.	100%																			
7 pts.	90-99.9%																			
6 pts.	80-89.9%																			
5 pts.	70-79.9%																			
4 pts.	60-69.9%																			
3 pts.	50-59.9%																			
2 pts.	40-49.9%																			
1 pt.	30-39.9%																			
0 pts.	<30%																			
2f	<p><b>Low Barrier:</b> 3 points: The project does not drug test participants on site or require drug testing in the community. 2 points: The project will not disqualify applicants for having too little or no income. 2 points: The project will not disqualify applicants based on information discovered through a credit check or a check for eviction history. 3 points: The project will not disqualify applicants for active or history of substance abuse. 2 points: The project will not disqualify applicants for reasons related to experience of domestic violence (lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, law enforcement involvement, etc.). 2 points: The project does not conduct criminal background checks for applicants or participants. Projects may be awarded one point if they conduct background checks due to a demonstrated legal requirement from one of the agency’s funding sources, provided that background checks are conducted in compliance with the Fair Chance Ordinance.</p> <p><b><i>Projects are required to submit a copy of their current Policies &amp; Procedures in order to confirm the use of the low-barrier policies above.</i></b></p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3</i></p>	14																		

2g	<p><b>Coordinated Entry:</b> All projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry (CE). Award points based on the criteria below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Award 2 points if project is in compliance with Coordinated Entry procedures (E.g., project exclusively takes referrals from CE and posts vacancies in a timely manner)</li> <li>• Award 2 points for project performance in Coordinated Entry (E.g., project enrolls CE referrals within 60 days)</li> </ul>	4
----	---	---

<b>3</b>	<b>Community Priority for Populations</b>	<b>1</b>
3	If a project commits <i>all</i> available units to youth, seniors, or chronically homeless individuals or families, award 1 point.	1
<b>4</b>	<b>Bonus Points for Self-Reallocation</b>	<b>5</b>
4	Projects who voluntary reallocate a portion of their project’s CoC funds in order to “right-size” their project and make additional funds available to new/bonus projects may be awarded up to 5 points at the discretion of the Review and Rank Panel.	5
<b>Total Points Available:</b>		<b>105</b>

\*Project participants for all housing stability measures exclude deceased clients.

\*\*For criteria based on performance outcomes data, information is collected from the most recent APRs from grant years ending in 2019. For APRs that were due in 2019 through March 2020 and received an extension beyond their deadline, the APRs will be pulled from HMIS.

\*\*\*Youth may be eligible for special cash and noncash resources including many financial aid and student assistance resources (e.g. Cal Grant Programs, Cal-SOAP, California College Promise, California Chafee Grant for Foster Youth, Middle Class Scholarship, Fullerton Guardian Scholars, EOPS)