
2022 RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

(Required but not scored. If “no” for any threshold criteria, the project is ineligible.)

Item	Maximum Available Score
HMIS Implementation: Projects that do not participate in HMIS are not eligible for funding, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency. Victim-services agencies must utilize a comparable database to HMIS and be able to produce de-identified aggregate data.	N/A
Coordinated Entry: Projects that have not agreed to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type, are not eligible for funding. Victim-service agencies or those serving survivors of domestic violence shall participate with Coordinated Entry while protecting client data and safety to ensure fair and equal access to the coordinated entry process and housing and services opportunities.	N/A
CoC Strategic Plan Compliance: Project aligns with the San Francisco CoC Strategic Plan.	N/A
Equal Access and Non-Discrimination: The project ensures equal access to program participants regardless of their race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, familial status or disability. The project complies with all federal and state civil rights and fair housing laws including the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access Rule.	N/A
Match: The agency has committed to match 25% of the grant except for leasing funds.	N/A
Training and Technical Assistance: All projects must agree to be responsive to training and technical assistance from the Collaborative Applicant and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (LHCB).	N/A
Substantially Changed Systems: All projects agree to inform LHCB and Collaborative Applicant if they have key personnel changes or substantially changed systems (such as changes to client admissions criteria).	N/A
Recent Financial Statement: Projects must provide an up to date (within last 21 months) audited financial statement, and single audit (if applicable).	N/A

STATEMENT OF POLICY

All of the Scored Criteria in this tool measure renewal projects' contribution to improving the San Francisco Continuum of Care's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization, and improved client outcomes. Certain scoring factors relate to specific HUD System Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

SCORED CRITERIA

Item						Maximum Available Score	
1	Program Performance and Client Outcomes Projects held harmless for a 2 nd year (due to lacking a full year of APR data) will only receive 40 points in this section; 3 rd year = 30 points, 4 th year or more = 0 points.					55	
1a	HOUSING STABILITY		Permanent Supportive Housing		Rapid Re-Housing (excluding youth-dedicated projects)		Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) for Youth
1a	<i>Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project:</i> The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by remaining in permanent housing or exiting to permanent housing.		15 pts.** 98-100% 14 pts. 94-97.9% 13 pts. 90-93.9% 11 pts. 86-89.9% 9 pts. 82-85.9% 7 pts. 78-81.9% 5 pts. 75-77.9% 3 pts. 72-74.9% 1 pt. 70-71.9% 0 pts. <70%		15 pts.** 90-100% 14 pts. 85-89.9% 13 pts. 80-84.9% 11 pts. 75-79.9% 9 pts. 70-74.9% 7 pts. 65-69.9% 5 pts. 62-64.9% 3 pts. 59-61.9% 1 pt. 55-58.9% 0 pts. <55%		15 pts.** 80-100% 14 pts. 75-79.9% 13 pts. 70-74.9% 11 pts. 65-69.9% 9 pts. 60-64.9% 7 pts. 55-59.9% 5 pts. 52-54.9% 3 pts. 49-51.9% 1 pt. 45-48.9% 0 pts. <45%
	<i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7</i>						

*Project participants for all housing stability measures exclude deceased clients.

**For criteria based on performance outcomes data, information is collected from the most recent APR from grant years ending in 2021.

1b	INCREASED INCOME				
1b1	<p>Permanent Supportive Housing: The percentage of participants that increase unearned <i>and/or</i> earned income from entry to annual assessment/exit.</p> <p><i>Participants who did not increase income, but demonstrated that they were enrolled in an education program, will be added to the total number of participants who increased their income.</i></p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>	Permanent Supportive Housing			
		10 pts.**	60-100%		
		9 pts.	50-59.9%		
		8 pts.	45-49.9%		
		7 pts.	25-44.9%		
		6 pts.	20-24.9%		
		5 pts.	15-19.9%		
		4 pts.	11-14.9%		
		3 pts.	8-10.9%		
		2 pts.	5-7.9%		
		1 pt.	1-4.9%		
		0 pts.	0%		
1b2	<p>Rapid Re-Housing or Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing (including Host Homes) for Youth: The percentage of leavers that increase income from entry to exit.</p> <p><i>Participants who did not increase income, but demonstrated that they were enrolled in an education program, will be added to the total number of leavers who increased their income.</i></p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>	Rapid Re-Housing (excluding youth-dedicated projects)	Transitional Housing or Rapid Rehousing (including Host Homes) for Youth		
		10 pts.**	95-100%	10 pts.**	85-100%
		9 pts.	85-94.9%	9 pts.	70-84.9%
		8 pts.	75-84.9%	8 pts.	50-69.9%
		7 pts.	65-74.9%	7 pts.	45-49.9%
		6 pts.	54-64.9%	6 pts.	40-44.9%
		5 pts.	44-53.9%	5 pts.	20-39.9%
		4 pts.	34-43.9%	4 pts.	15-19.9%
		3 pts.	24-33.9%	3 pts.	10-14.9%
		2 pts.	10-23.9%	2 pts.	5-9.9%
		1 pt.	1-9.9%	1 pt.	2-4.9%
		0 pts.	0-.9%	0 pts.	0-1.9%
1c	OBTAINED OR MAINTAINED CASH INCOME SOURCES***				
1c	<p>Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project: The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained one or more cash income sources at annual assessment or project exit.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p>	Cash Income Sources			
		10 pts.**	85-100%		
		9 pts.	70-84.9%		
		8 pts.	50-69.9%		
		7 pts.	45-49.9%		
		6 pts.	40-44.9%		
		5 pts.	20-39.9%		
		4 pts.	15-19.9%		
		3 pts.	10-14.9%		
		2 pts.	5-9.9%		
		1 pt.	2-4.9%		
		0 pts.	0-1.9%		

*** Youth may be eligible for special cash and noncash resources including many financial aid and student assistance resources (e.g. Cal Grant Programs Cal-SOAP, California College Promise, California Chafee Grant for Foster Youth, Middle Class Scholarship, Fullerton Guardian Scholars, EOPS)

1d	NON-CASH MAINSTREAM RESOURCES***	
1d1	Permanent Supportive Housing: The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained one or more non-cash mainstream resources at annual assessment or project exit.	Non-Cash Mainstream Resources at Follow-up/Exit: 5 pts.** 60-100% 3 pts. 30-59.9% 1 pt. 15-29.9% 0 pts. 0-14.9%
1d2	Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes): The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained one or more non-cash mainstream resources at project exit.	Non-Cash Mainstream Resources at Exit: 5 pts.** 60-100% 3 pts. 30-59.9% 1 pt. 15-29.9% 0 pts. 0-14.9%
1e	HEALTH INSURANCE	
1e1	Permanent Supportive Housing: The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained health insurance at annual assessment or project exit.	Health Insurance at Follow-up/Exit: 5 pts.** 83-100% 3 pts. 60-82.9% 1 pt. 30-59.9% 0 pts. 0-29.9%
1e2	Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes): The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained health insurance by project exit.	Health Insurance at Exit: 5 pts.** 83-100% 3 pts. 60-82.9% 1 pt. 30-59.9% 0 pts. 0-29.9%
1f	UNIT UTILIZATION	
1f	Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project: The project's average unit utilization rate. <i>HUD System Performance Measure 1, 3</i>	Average Unit Utilization Rate: 10 pts.** 90-100% 9 pts. 80-89.9% 8 pts. 75-79.9% 7 pts. 70-74.9% 6 pts. 65-69.9% 5 pts. 60-64.9% 4 pts. 55-59.9% 3 pts. 50-54.9% 0 pts. <50%

2	Finances, Administration, and Compliance	45
----------	---	-----------

2a	<p>Client Feedback Process:</p> <p>1) Does the project have a Resident Advisory Board, Client Advisory Board, or a client member of the agency’s Board of Directors? (If project is a victim service provider, to answer YES, the board must also consider the improvement of client safety.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes = 2 point • No = 0 points <p>2) Does the project have a formal process for collecting client or resident feedback?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes = 3 points • No = 0 points <p>3) Give one example of a time the project responded to client or resident feedback, in the past 2 years, by making a change to the program, including to address client safety improvements. (500 characters)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Example = 4 points • No example = 0 points 	9										
2b	<p>Monitoring Findings: Project has been responsive to outstanding or pending HUD or HSH monitoring findings, City-wide joint fiscal monitoring findings, financial audit findings, and has no other indication of major capacity issues. Projects must provide an up-to-date (within last 21 months) audited financial statement, and single audit (if applicable) in order to document this criteria.</p> <p>Projects that have received no findings by HUD, or else timely submitted information, if requested, to HSH for the response to a HUD finding will receive 5 points.</p>	No outstanding findings: 5pts										
2c	<p>Grant Utilization:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct Recipients: On-time drawdown for the final quarter of the grant term (3 points) • Subrecipients: Invoiced on-time for the final three months of the grant year (3 points); 1 point deducted for each month not timely-invoiced in final quarter <p>The project drew down quarterly (direct recipients) or invoiced monthly (subrecipients) on-time for final months of the grant year.</p>	<p>Drawdown/Invoicing 0-3 pts.</p> <hr/> <table> <tr> <td>7 pts.</td> <td>≥90%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 pts.</td> <td>≥80%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 pts.</td> <td>≥70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 pt.</td> <td>≥60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 pts.</td> <td><60%</td> </tr> </table>	7 pts.	≥90%	5 pts.	≥80%	3 pts.	≥70%	1 pt.	≥60%	0 pts.	<60%
7 pts.	≥90%											
5 pts.	≥80%											
3 pts.	≥70%											
1 pt.	≥60%											
0 pts.	<60%											

	<p>7 points: The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term.</p> <p>Note, lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points).</p>	
--	---	--

2d	<p>CoC Participation: Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 calendar year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Full LHCBS Meetings (2) LHCBS Funding Committee Meetings (3) CoC Subrecipient Convenings (4) Data Strategy Workgroup 	<table> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>13+</td></tr> <tr><td>4 pts.</td><td>10-12</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>7-9</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pts.</td><td>4-6</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>1-3</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	5 pts.	13+	4 pts.	10-12	3 pts.	7-9	2 pts.	4-6	1 pt.	1-3	0 pts.	0
5 pts.	13+													
4 pts.	10-12													
3 pts.	7-9													
2 pts.	4-6													
1 pt.	1-3													
0 pts.	0													

2e	<p>ONE SYSTEM (HMIS) Data Quality: Data quality is calculated as the percentage of data fields with a response entered in that field in the ONE System reflected on the day of the annual Point-In-Time Count (PIT).</p> <p><i>Contributes to System Performance on HUD System Performance Measures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 by improving data quality.</i></p>	<table> <tr><td>8 pts.</td><td>100%</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pts.</td><td>90-99.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>6 pts.</td><td>80-89.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>5 pts.</td><td>70-79.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>4 pts.</td><td>60-69.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>3 pts.</td><td>50-59.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pts.</td><td>40-49.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>1 pt.</td><td>30-39.9%</td></tr> <tr><td>0 pts.</td><td><30%</td></tr> </table>	8 pts.	100%	7 pts.	90-99.9%	6 pts.	80-89.9%	5 pts.	70-79.9%	4 pts.	60-69.9%	3 pts.	50-59.9%	2 pts.	40-49.9%	1 pt.	30-39.9%	0 pts.	<30%
8 pts.	100%																			
7 pts.	90-99.9%																			
6 pts.	80-89.9%																			
5 pts.	70-79.9%																			
4 pts.	60-69.9%																			
3 pts.	50-59.9%																			
2 pts.	40-49.9%																			
1 pt.	30-39.9%																			
0 pts.	<30%																			

2f	<p>Low Barrier:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) 1 points: The project does not drug test participants on site or require drug testing in the community. (ii) 1 points: The project will not disqualify applicants for having too little or no income. (iii) 2 points: The project will not disqualify applicants based on information discovered through a credit check or a check for eviction history. (iv) 1 points: The project will not disqualify applicants for active or history of substance abuse. (v) 1 points: The project will not disqualify applicants for reasons related to experience of domestic violence (lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, law enforcement involvement, etc.). (vi) 1 point: The project does not conduct criminal background checks for applicants or participants. (Note: for projects serving households with minor children, a point will still be awarded if sex offense status is checked through Megan's Law, rather than a criminal background check.) 1 point: The project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by different races and ethnicities, particularly those over- 	8
----	--	---

	<p>represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. <i>Explain in narrative (500 characters).</i></p> <p><i>[Replace above:]</i></p> <p>(vi) 4 points: The project’s narrative indicates steps taken to identify and eliminate barriers faced by overrepresented races and ethnicities in the local homelessness population.</p> <p>Projects are required to submit a copy of their current Policies & Procedures to confirm the use of low-barrier policies described above.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3</i></p>	
2g	<p>Coordinated Entry: All projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry (CE). Award points based on the criteria below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Award 2 points if project is in compliance with Coordinated Entry procedures (E.g., project exclusively takes referrals from CE and posts vacancies in a timely manner) • Award 2 points for project performance in Coordinated Entry (E.g., project enrolls CE referrals within 60 days) 	4
Total Points Available:		100