2023 RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

(Required but not scored. If "no" for any threshold criteria, the project is ineligible.)

Item	Maximum Available Score
HMIS Implementation: Projects that do not participate in HMIS are not eligible for funding, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency. Victim-services agencies must utilize a comparable database to HMIS and be able to produce de-identified aggregate data.	N/A
Coordinated Entry: Projects that have not agreed to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type, are not eligible for funding. Victim-service agencies or those serving survivors of domestic violence shall participate with Coordinated Entry while protecting client data and safety to ensure fair and equal access to the coordinated entry process and housing and services opportunities.	N/A
CoC Strategic Plan Compliance: Project aligns with the San Francisco CoC Strategic Plan.	N/A
Equal Access and Non-Discrimination: The project ensures equal access to program participants regardless of their race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, familial status or disability. The project complies with all federal and state civil rights and fair housing laws including the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access Rule.	N/A
Match: The agency has committed to match 25% of the grant except for leasing funds.	N/A
Training and Technical Assistance: All projects must agree to be responsive to training and technical assistance from the Collaborative Applicant and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (LHCB).	N/A
Substantially Changed Systems: All projects agree to inform LHCB and Collaborative Applicant if they have key personnel changes or substantially changed systems (such as changes to client admissions criteria).	N/A
Recent Financial Statement: Projects must provide an up to date (within last 21 months) audited financial statement, and single audit (if applicable).	N/A

STATEMENT OF POLICY

All of the Scored Criteria in this tool measure renewal projects' contribution to improving the San Francisco Continuum of Care's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization, and improved client outcomes. Certain scoring factors relate to specific HUD System Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

SCORED CRITERIA

Item						Maximu Availabl	
1	Program Performance and Client Outcomes Projects held harmless for a 2 nd year (due to lacking a full year of APR data) will only receive 40 points in this section; 3 rd year = 30 points, 4 th year or more = 0 points.				55		
1a	HOUSING STABILITY	Permanent Supportive Housing dedicated projects)					
1a	 Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project: The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by remaining in permanent housing or exiting to permanent housing. HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7 	15 pts.** 14 pts. 13 pts. 11 pts. 9 pts. 7 pts. 5 pts. 3 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	98-100% 94-97.9% 90-93.9% 86-89.9% 82-85.9% 78-81.9% 75-77.9% 72-74.9% 70-71.9% <70%	15 pts.** 14 pts. 13 pts. 11 pts. 9 pts. 7 pts. 5 pts. 3 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	90-100% 85-89.9% 80-84.9% 75-79.9% 70-74.9% 65-69.9% 62-64.9% 59-61.9% 55-58.9% <55%	15 pts.** 14 pts. 13 pts. 11 pts. 9 pts. 7 pts. 5 pts. 3 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	80-100% 75-79.9% 70-74.9% 65-69.9% 60-64.9% 55-59.9% 52-54.9% 49-51.9% 45-48.9% <45%

^{*}Project participants for all housing stability measures exclude deceased clients.

^{**}For criteria based on performance outcomes data, information is collected from the most recent APR from grant years ending in 2021.

1b	INCREASED INCOME					
1b1	increase unearned and/or earned income from entry to annual assessment/exit.HdParticipants who did not increase income, but demonstrated that they were enrolled in an education program, will be added to the total number of participants who increased their income.9Hub System Performance Measure 43			Hou 10 p 9 pt 8 pt 7 pt 6 pt 5 pt 4 pt 3 pt		
1b2	 Rapid Re-Housing or Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing (including Host Homes) for Youth: The percentage of leavers that increase income from entry to exit. Participants who did not increase income, but demonstrated that they were enrolled in an education program, will be added to the total number of leavers who increased their income. HUD System Performance Measure 4 	Rapid Re- (excluding dedicated 10 pts.** 9 pts. 8 pts. 7 pts. 6 pts. 5 pts. 4 pts. 3 pts. 2 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	youth 95-10 85-94 75-84 65-74 54-64 44-53 34-43 24-33 10-23 1-9	Ig 1- cts) 00% 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 3.9% 3.9% 3.9% 3.9%	Transitio	nal Housing Rehousing g Host for Youth
1c	OBTAINED OR MAINTAINED CASH INCOME SOURCES***					
1c	 Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project: The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained one or more cash income sources at annual assessment or project exit. HUD System Performance Measure 4 				Cash Inco 10 pts.** 9 pts. 8 pts. 7 pts. 6 pts. 5 pts. 4 pts. 3 pts. 2 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	Drme Sources 85-100% 70-84.9% 50-69.9% 45-49.9% 40-44.9% 20-39.9% 15-19.9% 10-14.9% 5-9.9% 2-4.9% 0-1.9%

^{***} Youth may be eligible for special cash and noncash resources including many financial aid and student assistance resources (e.g. Cal Grant

Programs Cal-SOAP, California College Promise, California Chafee Grant for Foster Youth, Middle Class Scholarship, Fullerton Guardian Scholars, EOPS)

1d	NON-CASH MAINSTREAM RESOURCES***		
1d1	<i>Permanent Supportive Housing</i> : The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained one or more non-cash mainstream resources at annual assessment or project exit.		Mainstream s at Follow- 60-100% 30-59.9% 15-29.9% 0-14.9%
1d2	Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) : The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained one or more non-cash mainstream resources at project exit.		Mainstream 5 at Exit: 60-100% 30-59.9% 15-29.9% 0-14.9%
1e	HEALTH INSURANCE		
1e1	<i>Permanent Supportive Housing</i> : The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained health insurance at annual assessment or project exit.	Health Ins Follow-up 5 pts.** 3 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	Surance at //Exit: 83-100% 60-82.9% 30-59.9% 0-29.9%
1e2	Transitional Housing or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) : The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained health insurance by project exit.	Health Ins Exit: 5 pts.** 3 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts.	surance at 83-100% 60-82.9% 30-59.9% 0-29.9%
1f	UNIT UTILIZATION		
1f	 Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing for Youth or Rapid Re-Housing (including Host Homes) project: The project's average unit utilization rate. HUD System Performance Measure 1, 3 	Average U Utilization 10 pts.** 9 pts. 8 pts. 7 pts. 6 pts. 5 pts. 4 pts. 3 pts. 0 pts.	

2c • Subrecipients: Invoiced on-time for the final three months of the grant year (3 points); 1 point deducted for each month not timely-invoiced in final quarter 0-3 pts. 2c • The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term (7 points) 7 pts. Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points). 7 pts. CoC Participation: 5 pts. Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 5 pts.	2	Finances, Administration, and Compliance	45	
2a agency and/or project uses (2 point for each option selected, 4 possible): The project has a resident or client advisory board. There is representation of someone(s) with lived experience on the agency's leadership and/or board. Strategies exist to recruit, retain, and develop staff who are reflective of the communities being served (e.g. race, ethnicity, experience of houselessness, disability, experience with the criminal legal system, experience in foster care, etc). The project has a process for annually collecting feedback. How is client feedback and lived experience meaningfully integrated into the design and operation of the project? Use specific examples where possible, including any substantive changes to project design or service delivery that were made within the agency (5pts possible). No outstanding findings: Projects that have received no findings by HUD, or else timely submitted information, if requested, to HSH for the response to a HUD finding will receive 5 points. Onitoring Findings: <ld>Drivect Recipients: On-time drawdown for the final quarter of the grant term (3 points) Subrecipients: Invoiced on-time for the final three months of the grant term (7 points) Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 2 is pts. total points). CoC Participation: Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 S pts. 4pts. 10 S pts. 4pts. 10 S pts. 4pts. 10 S pts. 4pts. 10 </ld>		Client Feedback Process:		
2c experience of houselessness, disability, experience with the criminal legal system, experience in foster care, etc). • The project has a process for annually collecting feedback. 2) How is client feedback and lived experience meaningfully integrated into the design and operation of the project? Use specific examples where possible, including any substantive changes to project design or service delivery that were made within the agency (5pts possible). No outstanding findings: 2b Monitoring Findings: Projects that have received no findings by HUD, or else timely submitted information, if requested, to HSH for the response to a HUD finding will receive 5 points. No outstanding findings: 5pts 2c Grant Utilization: • Direct Recipients: On-time drawdown for the final quarter of the grant term (3 points) Drawdown/Invoice 0-3 pts. 2c • The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term (7 points) Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points). 7 pts. 5 pts. 3 pts. 1 pt. 0 pts. 2c CoC Participation: Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 5 pts. 4 pts. 10	2a	 agency and/or project uses (2 point for each option selected, 4 possible): The project has a resident or client advisory board. There is representation of someone(s) with lived experience on the agency's leadership and/or board. Strategies exist to recruit, retain, and develop staff who are 		
2b Projects that have received no findings by HUD, or else timely submitted information, if requested, to HSH for the response to a HUD finding will receive 5 points. findings: 5pts 2b Grant Utilization: Direct Recipients: On-time drawdown for the final quarter of the grant term (3 points) Subrecipients: Invoiced on-time for the final three months of the grant year (3 points); 1 point deducted for each month not timely-invoiced in final quarter The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term (7 points) Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points). 7 pts. 5 pts. 4 pts. 10 CoC Participation: Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 5 pts. 4 pts. 10 Project State attended the following meetings during the 2020 5 pts. 4 pts. 10		 experience of houselessness, disability, experience with the criminal legal system, experience in foster care, etc). The project has a process for annually collecting feedback. 2) How is client feedback and lived experience meaningfully integrated into the design and operation of the project? Use specific examples where possible, including any substantive changes to project design or 	9	
 Direct Recipients: On-time drawdown for the final quarter of the grant term (3 points) Subrecipients: Invoiced on-time for the final three months of the grant year (3 points); 1 point deducted for each month not timely-invoiced in final quarter The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term (7 points) Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points). CoC Participation: Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 5 pts. 4 pts. 10 	2b	Projects that have received no findings by HUD, or else timely submitted information, if requested, to HSH for the response to a HUD finding will	5	
 The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term (7 points) Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points). CoC Participation: Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 Spts. 4 pts. 10 	26	 Direct Recipients: On-time drawdown for the final quarter of the grant term (3 points) Subrecipients: Invoiced on-time for the final three months of the grant year (3 points); 1 point deducted for each month not timely-invoiced in 	Drawdown/Invoicing 0-3 pts.	
Agency/collaborative attended the following meetings during the 2020 4 pts. 10	20	grant term (7 points) Note: lost points can be recovered by reorganization/reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded	5 pts. ≥80% 3 pts. ≥70% 1 pt. ≥60%	
l calendar year:	2d	-	4 pts. 10-12	
20 (1) LHCB Funding Committee Meetings 2 pts.		(1) LHCB Funding Committee Meetings(2) CoC Subrecipient Convenings	2 pts. 4-6 1 pt. 1-3	

2e	ONE SYSTEM (HMIS) Data Quality: Data quality is calculated as the percentage of data fields with a response entered in that field in the ONE System reflected on the day of the annual Point-In-Time Count (PIT). Contributes to System Performance on HUD System Performance Measures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 by improving data quality.	8 pts. 100% 7 pts. 90-99.9% 6 pts. 80-89.9% 5 pts. 70-79.9% 4 pts. 60-69.9% 3 pts. 50-59.9% 2 pts. 40-49.9% 1 pt. 30-39.9% 0 pts. <30%
2f	Low Barrier: (i) 2 points: The project will not disqualify applicants based on information discovered through a credit check or a check for eviction history. (ii) 1 point: The project will not disqualify applicants for reasons related to experience of domestic violence (lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, law enforcement involvement, etc.). (iii) 1 point: The project does not conduct criminal background checks for applicants or participants. (Note: for projects serving households with minor children, a point will still be awarded if sex offense status is checked through Megan's Law, rather than a criminal background check.) <i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3</i>	4
2g	 Racial Equity: Select all of the methods of advancing racial equity and cultural competency that your agency has implemented (1pt each option, total 4 possible): Written materials and translation services are available in multiple languages for participants with limited English proficiency. Racial equity and cultural responsiveness knowledge, skills and practices are part of staff job descriptions and workplans. Internal structures exist to address issues of racial equity and cultural responsiveness (i.e., formal or informal complaint resolution process, community advisory body, equity committee) Staff receive training and support around racial equity and cultural responsiveness and their role in addressing racial inequities. Ongoing evaluation of policy, service of program impacts and progress towards racial equity and cultural responsiveness The agency's board and leadership are reflective of the racial and ethnic demographics it serves. Other (please list): 	4
Tota	Points Available:	100